



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Open Letter to Dr. Mercola January 17, 2022

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 11:03 AM

To: jm@mercola.com, customerservice@mercola.com

January 17, 2022

Hi Dr. Mercola,

You've published an [blog](#) titled "**Yes, SARS-CoV-2 Is a Real Virus**".

One of the sources you relied most heavily on for this claim is a recent blog by Steve Kirsch, which is really interesting because in that blog Steve admitted right off the top that he actually has no idea whether or not the alleged virus has even been isolated and that he relies on other people's **opinions**.

I wrote an [educational Open Letter to Steve Kirsch](#) in response to that blog and strongly suggest you and your readers review it.

Now in your blog you state that: "*SARS-CoV-2 has been isolated, photographed, genetically sequenced, and exists as a pathogenic entity.*"

I hope we can agree that a specific thing must be **known** to exist in order to know that "it" is pathogenic. Not believed, imagined, assumed, or wanted to exist, but known. Because otherwise it's **impossible** to establish even a correlation, let alone prove causation of anything.

Yet nowhere in your blog did you present or cite any proof that that the alleged RNA genome of 30,000 base pairs surrounded by a spikey protein shell actually exists.

I'll briefly review some of the sources you've cited to explain why I say this.

You start out with a video that features Jeremy Hammond insisting that "the virus" is real, has been isolated, and is a necessary factor in "COVID-19".

(For the record, I had an extensive [email exchange](#) with Jeremy on this topic, between October 25 and November 14, 2020. I encourage you and your readers to review it.)

In this video, Jeremy made **bold claims** indeed. But despite stating that the "virus" existence issue is "*probably*" his "*biggest pet peeve*", he came to this interview armed with **zero sources** showing that the alleged virus does exist. In fact Jeremy cited **no studies of any kind**. Just unsubstantiated claims, and reliance on the beliefs of others. *They could do this, they could do that. They can't do this, they can't do that. So-and-so says this, so-and-so says that.*

Instead, Jeremy insisted that the following is the "**gold standard**" for "**isolation**" of a disease spreading "virus": irrational and unscientific **interpretation** of cytopathic effects in a cell culture – typically malnourished monkey kidney cells to which toxic drugs have been added, and further contamination in

the form of fetal bovine serum is added as food for the cells, along with a patient sample (not a purified sample of anything).

This, in Jeremy's mind, establishes the existence and presence of **a virus**. Which is why he'd make a **great virologist**. Jeremy doesn't think like a scientist, and as I always point out, "**virology is not a science**".

And Jeremy lied through his teeth when he went along with the naïve (I'm giving her the benefit of the doubt) comment from his interviewer that virologists then pull "the virus" from the cell culture. "*It really is that simple.*" (I challenge Jeremy or you, Dr. Mercola, to cite any study where **a specific thing** was "pulled", even from a monkey/cow/human mixture aka cell culture, and shown **scientifically** to be a disease-spreading "virus".)

And according to Jeremy, we just "know" what "coronaviruses" look like, despite the fact that **no specific thing alleged to be a "coronavirus" has ever been purified from any patient sample** (or even from a cell culture) so that it could be studied logically and scientifically. Who needs science? We just know these things.

Jeremy insists that the CDC has isolated "SARS-COV-2". Well, yes they have according to the meaningless, antiscientific approach to "isolation" used by Jeremy and virologists.

But did the CDC researchers apply even a modicum of logic or scientific method and actually establish the existence of the alleged virus? That's an entirely different matter and the answer is a **resounding "No"**.

The CDC's "SARS-COV-2 isolation" study is just another example of the typical **fraudulent** monkey business (literally) that plagues our world. I have addressed the CDC's study **previously**, and will address this same issue of virology's blatantly bogus "isolation" methods below.

Jeremy carried on with more bizarre claims: that scientists never isolate/purify anything, and don't have the technology to purify things like alleged viruses.

Jeremy also strangely implied that people (such as myself) who say that proof of a disease-spreading "virus" requires purification actually demand that the alleged virus be floating in a vacuum.

Dr. Mercola, I've been involved in this issue for almost 2 years now and don't know a single man or woman who defines isolation/purification as "*floating in a vacuum*".

And I make **explicitly** clear in my **Freedom of Information requests** that this is **not** how I define isolation/purification. Below is a screenshot from a recent FOIA request to the CDC. They have no records, like all **164 other institutions in roughly 30 countries**, that have been asked by people around the world. No one on the planet has purified a sample of the alleged "virus" from a disease human, or knows of anyone who has, even though supposedly millions and millions of people are infected and spewing this "virus" every time they breath.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the **purification** of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on all the RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a "genome" by editing/assembling/aligning sequences detected in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am **not** requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification** (**separation** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by the CDC or ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by the CDC or ATSDR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for

And no, contrary to Jeremy's claim, it is **not** people such as [Dr. Andrew Kaufman](#), or [Jon Rappoport](#), or [Drs. Sam and Mark Bailey](#), who bizarrely redefined the word "isolation". It's virologists who redefined it, to mean mixing various complicated substances together and drawing wild conclusions – quite the opposite of its historical meaning.

It's funny how everyone knows that "isolate" means "separate" when it comes to isolating **humans** and the "confusion" only arises when it comes to theoretical "viruses". And how a virologist's use of the word "isolate" gives the impression of legitimate science when nothing could be further from the truth.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

On February 21, 2021, you requested the following information:

Can you please clarify if you have any records of the separation of SARS-COV-2 from everything else (known as isolation and purification)? A simple yes or no will do regarding the answer. Please use the Merriam-Webster dictionary's common definition of [isolation](#). I will provide the definitions below:

isolation

isolation noun

 Save Word

iso-lā-tion | \ ˈI-sə-ˈlā-shən also ˈI- \

Definition of isolation

: the action of [isolating](#) : the condition of being [isolated](#)

Isolated

isolated adjective

 Save Word

iso-lat-ed | \ ˈI-sə-ˌlā-təd also ˈI- \

Definition of isolated

- 1 : occurring alone or once : [UNIQUE](#)
- 2 : [SPORADIC](#)

Isolate

isolate verb

 Save Word

iso-late | \ ˈI-sə-lāt also ˈI- \

isolated; isolating

Definition of isolate (Entry 1 of 3)

transitive verb

- 1 : to set apart from others
also : [QUARANTINE](#)
- 2 : to select from among others
especially : to separate from another substance so as to obtain pure or in a free state
- 3 : [INSULATE](#)

The SME states the following:

The definition of “isolation” provided in the request is outside of what is possible in virology, as viruses need cells to replicate, and cells require liquid food. However, the SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from a human clinical specimen by culturing in cell culture, which is the definition of “isolation” as used in microbiology, and as indicated in the previous round of response in the resources provided.

Dr. Mercola, I couldn't help but notice the unicorn in the background over the shoulder of Jeremy's interviewer. Was this video inserted into your blog as someone's idea of a joke? I mean, these people proved a virus no more than a unicorn, and unicorns are a [popular analogy](#) for imaginary viruses these days, thanks to [Dr. Tom Cowan](#), and I can't for the life of me imagine why you would have purposely included this video when it's completely useless to anyone looking for proof of a virus.



And **no** Dr. Mercola, we are not **confused**. We're quite familiar with what virologists have been **getting away with**.

As distressing as it is to do so, since you have chosen to rehash Steve Kirsch's summary of the curious "science" of Sabine Hazan, I will briefly address it once again, here, as I did in my educational **Open Letter** to Steve.

To put it bluntly, Sabine Hazan's study is 100% useless and fraudulent. The RNA used in her "sequencing" was a genetic soup from various sources, including patients, and not shown to involve any alleged "virus".

She fabricated meaningless codes on a computer that have never been shown to correspond to anything in the physical realm and falsely passed these off as "viral genomes".

Sabine compared her meaningless "sequencing" results to the results of her **utterly meaningless** and fraudulent PCR tests (that she is quite **secretive** about, at least with me) that also have never been shown to have anything to do with a "virus".

Sabine **comes unhinged** when directly challenged on the validity of her so-called "science". I encourage your readers to try this themselves and see what happens (and send me the results at cmssyc@gmail.com).

Dr. Mercola, I also already addressed ATCC's very expensive and fraudulent "virus" product that you are now promoting as well, in my educational **Open Letter to Steve Kirsch**. Please be sure to review that section. It includes a **Buyer Beware!** from Dr. Saeed A. Qureshi, PhD, who spent 30+ years as a **scientist** (as opposed to a virologist) with Health Canada.

Dr. Mercola, I challenge you to track down the origin and contents of any ATCC "SARS-COV-2" product, as **I did last year** for the so-called "SARS-COV-2 isolate" that is referred to as "MUC-IMB1" aka "BavPat1" and sold by companies like EVA for **2 000,00 €** per vial, and report back to your readers what you learn. Report the **detailed methods** that were used to allegedly verify that the product contains **any** disease-causing "virus" whatsoever.

Regarding your claim that “Germ Theory and Terrain Theory Both Have Merit”, can you prove with science that virology has any merit whatsoever?

Please **prove** the existence of a specific **physical thing** alleged to be a disease-spreading “**COVID-19 virus/variant**” aka “SARS-COV-2” and **prove** that **that specific thing** spreads disease from host to host via natural modes of exposure in animals or humans.

I challenge you to publish a study proving that such a thing exists. Show with science that only subjects that are exposed to **that specific thing** get “COVID-19” respiratory disease, as claimed by Jeremy, whose wild unsubstantiated claims you are now disseminating.

Dr. Mercola, as evidence that “the virus” has been isolated and sequenced, you are citing studies that rely in part on PCR “tests”. Without bothering to go into all the well documented **fatal flaws** with these so-called tests, a little logic is in order.

1. It is impossible to validate any “test” without a gold standard.
2. It is impossible to validate any “test” claimed to “confirm” the presence of a “virus” (or a “viral infection”) **before** the alleged “virus” has been proven to exist.
3. It is impossible to validate any “test” claimed to “confirm” a “viral disease” **before** the alleged “virus” has been a) proven to exist and b) proven to cause the disease.

Obviously an indirect **test** for a “virus” cannot logically be used to prove the **existence** of the alleged “virus”. The test is what it is. In the case of PCR, **in the very best case scenario**, it is evidence of the presence of the **very tiny target genetic sequence**. Nothing more. Not a virus, not a genome, just a tiny little sequence.

And cytopathic **effects** on a cell culture, any cell culture, are just that – **effects**. **An effect is not the cause of the effect**. And wild assumptions about the **cause** of the effect are just that – wild assumptions, not science. This is **especially** true when the cells in question have been malnourished by lowering the level of food for the cells (typically fetal bovine serum) and poisoning the cells with toxic drugs.

Dr. Mercola, I am shocked that you actually published this quote from the sketchy, brief **Letter** from Italy that you cited, as evidence that a “virus” has been sequenced:

*“[Vero E6 aka monkey kidney] **Cell culture supernatants** from passage 1 (P1) of four **isolates** were collected, and RNA was extracted...”*

First of all, as you seem to understand and as should be clear by now, “*isolates*” do **not** mean purified, isolated specimens in virology. Quite the opposite. In the case of “SARS-COV-2” studies, they are **monkey/cow/human mixtures**. And the authors are telling you in plain language that they extracted the RNA from the **cell culture supernatants**. Not from a purified specimen of an alleged virus.

These authors are telling the world that they have a **soup** of genetic material, and are going to concoct on their computer a so-called “viral genome” out of the zillions of sequences that they (**kinda, maybe, sorta**) detect therein. Because this is virology, **not science**.

Do we really need to discuss this any further?

Dr. Mercola, fabricated “genomes”, meaningless, impossible-to-validate PCR “tests”, wild assumptions about the cause of **effects** on a malnourished/poisoned cell line, and arrows added to EM images and

pointing at particles that were **never purified, never sequenced, never characterized, never studied with controlled experiments** does not add up to science.

Virology is not a science.

Dr. Mercola, it is very distressing to see you promoting **blatant pseudoscience** that has been used for decades to fool and coerce people around the world in myriad ways, not limited to the utterly useless and harmful injections that have fraudulently been passed off as “immunizations”.

Every time you (or someone like [Peter McCullough](#)) do this, people such as myself have to spend hours clearing up all the confusion you have caused with the public.

You need to do your due diligence, find and share the “missing” scientific proof of viruses, or retract your blog, apologize to your readers, and get on the right side of history. You’ve had 2 years already to figure this out.

Hopefully the public will soon tire of relying on “experts” and simply read the ridiculous “virus isolation” studies for themselves. When that happens, this pseudoscience (which is really too generous a word) is finished forever.

Best wishes,
[Christine Massey](#), M.Sc.
Peterborough, Ontario, Canada