

NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF FLUORIDATION IS SAFE + NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF FLUORIDATION WORKS = NO MUNICIPAL WATER FLUORIDATION

The emails sent to Dr. de Villa, MD, Medical Officer of Health for Peel Region were also sent to all Peel Regional and London council members, some anti-fluoride citizens, Dr. Chris Mackie, MOH for London-Middlesex, Erin Brockovich, government leaders, as well as media in both communities plus the mayors of Sarnia and Chatham.

Dr. Roger Masters, Ph.D.'s rebuttal to the HFSA review submitted by Medical Officers of Health, Eileen de Villa and Christopher Mackie as proof of the safety of Hydrofluorosilicic acid for human consumption is posted on the Fluoride Free Peel website: <http://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/roger-masters-rebuttal-to-hfsa-review-from-dr-christopher-mackie/>

From: **Kallie Miller**

Date: Wed, May 18, 2016 at 9:50 PM

Subject: Inquiry regarding safety of artificial water fluoridation chemical

To: Eileen.deVilla@peelregion.ca

Cc: as listed

Re: Inquiry regarding safety of artificial water fluoridation chemical

Dear Dr. de Villa, Medical Officer of Health,

I draw your attention to a recent article in the Brampton Guardian where you made the following statement.

"Peel health staff will not 'cherry-pick' studies to support water fluoridation: Medical Officer of Health" and then I heard about this tweet.

"From Dr. de Villa's twitter feed:

"Eileen de Villa Retweeted

David Juurlink @DavidJuurlink Feb 19

@picardonhealth I recently reviewed safety of fluoridation for a city council. 0.7 ppm is safe, full stop. Same for fluoridation agent HFSA"

I'm a retired Registered Nurse and have been researching the practice of artificial water fluoridation for a number of years and am shocked to learn that the practice continues without toxicology studies showing that the chemical in question, Hydrofluorosilicic Acid is safe for human consumption for short and long term use particularly for the sub groups who are at

greater risk of harm such as unborn children, infants, renal and cancer patients, people with autoimmune disorders, hypothyroidism, diabetics, etc.

My neighbor is a Registered Nurse with a university degree and she works at a local Health Unit. She told me that it's the Health Unit's policy to tell new mothers to mix baby formula with fluoridated tap water as long as it's boiled for five minutes prior to use. I'm afraid she is terribly ill informed, because by boiling the water, it increases the concentration of fluoride and is extremely harmful to the infants developing brain according to a recent Harvard study which shows that fluoride lowers the IQ of infants up to 7 points. <http://fluoridealert.org/studies/brain01> Why are citizens not being warned of this?

Unfortunately, I've only been able to locate **many scientific studies showing harmful effects regarding the consumption of Hydrofluorosilicic Acid on human health.**

Dr. de Villa, you said that you recently researched the issue of '**safety**' yourself. For that, I'm very grateful as it will relieve my worry somewhat.

Please refer me to the **toxicology/scientific peer-reviewed studies** showing that the toxic waste by-product, Hydrofluorosilicic Acid which is a known **neurotoxin** used to mass medicate the public is safe, for human consumption.

I've been searching this issue for a long time and haven't been able to locate the studies and when I asked my City Councillor for them - they are nowhere to be found. I draw your attention to a letter from Health Canada stating they do not have any such studies. I understand the Concerned Citizens of Peel to End Fluoridation have provided the letter to the Region and to refresh your memory, I have attached a copy for you.

Please find attached an extensive bibliography from a retired Professor, Dr. Roger Masters. Dr. Masters many studies on the chemical in question, shows beyond a doubt that there are many adverse harmful effects on human health when exposed to this toxic chemical.

I understand that Consumer Advocate, Erin Brockovich's signed letter to the Institute of Medicine, Environmentalist Lois Gibbs, a Nobel Prize Nominee and Civil Rights Leader Henry Rodriques have all sent important information in order to inform the Water Fluoridation Committee, Regional Councillors and all staff which would include you; of the many dangers in using Hydrofluorosilicic Acid to fluoridate the municipal drinking water in Peel Region.

Most recently, Dr. Mark Hyman, a prestigious well known specialist in Functional Medicine has publicly announced his opposition to fluoridation and has asked the US government to undergo an investigation on this issue.

Many community safe water advocates like myself are supporting the pending lawsuit against Peel Region and the Province of Ontario as we trust the judicial system will call upon the required experts in the fields necessary to determine the **legality, safety and efficacy of the chemical in question.**

Thank you in advance for your consideration and I look forward to receiving the links to the toxicological studies showing that Hydrofluorosilic Acid used in the municipal drinking water is safe for human consumption.

Regards,

Kallie Miller, RN, London, Ontario

From: Kallie Miller

Sent: July 28, 2016 6:58 PM

To: de Villa, Eileen

Cc: as listed

Subject: Re-submission of letter "Inquiry regarding safety of artificial water fluoridation chemical"

Importance: High

Dear Dr. Eileen de Villa, Medical Officer of Health for Peel Region

I'm writing you today, to follow up on a letter that was sent to you dated, May 18th, 2016.

It's hard to believe that ten weeks have passed. I have yet to receive your response to my request for the links to the research which, according to your tweet, convinced you, that **Hydrofluorosilicic Acid** is safe for human consumption and that .7 ppm of **HFSA** is a safe amount to be added to every citizens drinking, cooking and bathing water.

Below, I am resubmitting my original letter to you.

Thank you for your attention regarding this **very important matter.**

Regards,

Kallie Miller, RN, London, Ontario

From: de Villa, Eileen [<mailto:eileen.devilla@peelregion.ca>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 5:38 PM
To: Kallie Miller
Cc: Christopher Mackie
Subject: RE: Re-submission of letter "Inquiry regarding safety of artificial water fluoridation chemical"

Ms. Miller,

This is a synopsis as email is sent as confidential. Dr. de Villa acknowledges that Dr. Mackie has sent me the link to the toxicology studies and would I now contact him for further information.

Regards,

Eileen de Villa, MD, MBA, MHSc, CCFP, FRCPC
Medical Officer of Health
Peel Public Health
7120 Hurontario St.
P.O Box 667 - RPO Streetsville
Mississauga, ON L5M 2C2

Tel: (905) 791-7800 ext. 2856
Fax: (905) 564-2683
E-mail: eileen.devilla@peelregion.ca

This email, including any attachments, is solely for the use of the intended recipients and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately via return e-mail and permanently delete the original e-mail. Thank you.

From: Kallie Miller
Sent: August 16, 2016 7:44 PM
To: 'de Villa, Eileen'
Cc: as listed

Subject: RE: Re-submission of letter "Inquiry regarding safety of artificial water fluoridation chemical"

Dear Dr. de Villa,

Thank you for your reply to me personally.

Dr. Chris Mackie did indeed send me the link to https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/chem_background/exsumpdf/fluorosisilicates_508.pdf the day after my initial letter to you. This is a review and not the toxicology/safety studies showing that HFSA used to fluoridate drinking water is safe for human consumption.

I have attached Dr. Roger Master's rebuttal of this link and discussion on using HFSA to fluoridate the drinking water. Dr. Mackie asked to be removed from the email list which I have done. If you so wish, you are welcome to send him a copy of this email.

<http://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/roger-masters-rebuttal-to-hfsa-review-from-dr-christopher-mackie/>

Given you tweeted that you had done your research and found HFSA was safe in the drinking water, I was most surprised after ten weeks of non response that you indicate by your acceptance of Dr. Mackie's submission, that it contains the necessary studies for you that show HFSA is safe to put in the drinking water. This is very alarming to say the least, especially when it's a review and not actual toxicology studies showing HFSA in drinking water is safe for human consumption. This means to me that you cannot honestly say that the toxic waste, HFSA is safe for human consumption in drinking, cooking and bathing water. Your tweet was misleading, as are your statements to Peel Regional Council and the citizens of Peel Region who you are well-paid to protect, that artificial water fluoridation with HFSA is safe and effective. It appears that you do not have the links to the required toxicology studies to show it is safe.

I am greatly disappointed that you and Dr. Mackie as doctors, would recommend the addition of a toxic waste by product which cannot be legally put into the air, lakes, rivers or sea where it's manufactured, is collected, put into a holding pond, then into a tanker truck and magically transforms into an unregulated drug to prevent cavities on its way to a fluoridating community where it turns a profit for the manufacturer rather than a huge expense to be legally environmentally disposed of without checking for toxicology/safety studies showing HFSA is safe in drinking water for human consumption. I wonder if the very generous salary that you and the other Medical Officers of Health receive is an obstacle that prevents you from doing your due diligence to discover and share the truth about fluoridation. By the way artificial water fluoridation is scientifically shown to not be effective: see <http://cof-cof.ca/convincing-canadian-studies-demonstrating-water-fluoridations-questionable-merit/> for five Canadian studies regarding the effectiveness of AWF.

Given the NSF Standard 60 certificate requires 20 toxicology studies, I wonder why you did not attempt to obtain the links to the legally required toxicology studies from the National Sanitation Foundation. If you had taken the time to inquire, you would have learned the truth-- they don't have them. Being they are apparently required, as NSF themselves state on their website, one wonders why they don't have them and how NSF can legally issue a certificate of safety. Here is what a manufacturer must do to meet the NSF Standard 60 according to the NSF website:

["http://www.nsf.org/services/by-industry/water-wastewater/water-treatment-chemicals/nsf-ansi-standard-60"](http://www.nsf.org/services/by-industry/water-wastewater/water-treatment-chemicals/nsf-ansi-standard-60)

Certification Process

We distinguish ourselves due to our thorough product evaluation, but our certification process is simple and efficient. We assign you a dedicated NSF project manager as a single point of contact to guide you through the certification process and oversee your certification project every step of the way.

Seven Simple Steps to Certification:

1. *Your company submits an application.*
2. *You provide product formulation, toxicology and product use information.*
3. *We perform a plant audit and sample collection.*
4. *Our toxicology department reviews formulations.*
5. *Our laboratory conducts testing.*
6. *We complete a final toxicology evaluation.*
7. *We grant NSF certification for compliant products and you can use the NSF mark on products, packaging and marketing materials.*

Let the experts at NSF help you by expediting your time to market, bundling services and reducing the number of contracted service providers by reducing facility audits and overall costs."

If you are interested in the truth and in protecting the citizens who are receiving fluoridated water, you might take the time to read the following excellent excerpt from Attorney James Robert Deal's extensive educational site. <http://.fluoride-class-action.com>

.....The National Sanitation Foundation (NSF) certifies fluoridation materials to be safe. Based on this certification some states and some water districts require that fluoridation materials be added to drinking water. NSF Rule 60 states that some 20 toxicological tests of fluoridation materials must be done. NSF has admitted that the tests are not done. Because states and water districts make the decision to require fluoridation based on NSF's

certification, and because said certification is false and fraudulent, and because NSF is usurping the role of the FDA in certifying the fluoride drug to be safe, the FDA should to order NSF to cease in its certification of fluoridation materials to be safe.....

I have personally inquired and NSF does not have the toxicology studies even though, they publish they are required, nor do the manufacturers who must provide them for certification. Do you not find it incredulous that NSF does not have the required studies when they are legally required for the Standard 60 certification? I have hope that you and everyone else copied on this email does not believe me and does their own research by writing their own letters to find out for themselves that there are no toxicology studies showing HFSA is safe for human consumption in drinking water. I will provide a list of manufacturers and addresses upon request.

The big question is "why are our trusted doctors and dentists lying to us intentionally or unintentionally? Once our elected officials learn the truth for themselves, surely they will do the right thing and vote to end AWF unless of course they possibly want political power more than taking the right action. Also, there is the distant hope that some Medical Officers of Health may find the courage to tell the truth thus protecting the citizens who pay them for protection, from undue harm and suffering.

The time has come to end this horrible scam on humanity. Elected officials need to do their due diligence and vote for the end of fluoridation while the dentists, Medical Officers of Health and government leaders supporting AWF need to admit their error.

Dr. de Villa, it is very sad that you, with your gift of a brilliant mind, have put so much energy and money into an extensive education, yet you fail to do your own independent due diligence on AWF or follow your Hippocratic Oath, which is to first do no harm. It is especially sad when you could be doing so much good towards ending artificial water fluoridation by truly searching for, finding, and disclosing the truth regarding the serious harm that artificial water fluoridation with HFSA is having on human health. Do you not wonder why Erin Brockovich, a well-known activist known for her concern that humans have safe water to drink, her impeccable investigations and integrity would call for the end of fluoridation in the US? It is a mystery to me why you would tweet that you had researched and found that HFSA was safe for fluoridation when you cannot produce toxicology/safety studies showing that it is safe for human consumption when requested.

Regards

Kallie Miller, RN, London, Ontario

From: Robert J. Fleming (COF-COF) [RobertFleming@COF-COF.ca]
 Sent: Wed 8/17/2016 7:09 PM
 To: 'Kallie Miller'
 CC: 'Action (COF-COF)'
 Subject: FW: Re-submission of letter "Inquiry regarding safety of artificial water fluoridation chemical" August 17, 2016

You have done a fine job here, Kallie.

A well-written, documented, reasoned argument for an end to municipal water fluoridation. I like that you pulled in the medical officers of health, on their higher responsibility to be truthful and forthcoming to municipal councillors and citizens, about water fluoridation. No scientific proof fluoridation works – no scientific proof fluoridation is safe – then, no to municipal water fluoridation.

Well done :@)

Cheers,

Robert

Robert J. Fleming (President / Président)

Canadians Opposed to Fluoridation ~ Canadiens Opposés à la Fluoration

Water fluoridation is unproven, unsafe, unethical, unnatural, unnecessary and unlawful.

Fluoration de l'eau est non prouvée, non sécuritaire, contraire à l'éthique, non naturelle, non nécessaire et illégal.

3 - 48 Bridgeport Road East
 Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 2J6

NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF FLUORIDATION IS SAFE + NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF FLUORIDATION WORKS = NO MUNICIPAL WATER FLUORIDATION